

THERE ARE NO COMPACT BIMAGIC SQUARES

We remember the definition of a compact square: the sum of the 4 numbers in every 2x2 subsquare is constant.

1. METHOD OF DEMONSTRATION FOR THE ORDER 8 (by Francis Gaspalou)

In May 2011, F. Gaspalou enumerated all the 8x8 compact semi-magic squares. It is then easy to check with a program that none of these squares is bimagic. And if there is none 8x8 compact semi-magic square, a fortiori there is none 8x8 compact magic square.

But a direct proof can be given.

Let consider the 8 numbers A_1, A_2, \dots, A_8 on the first row.

For the numbers of the second row, it is easy to see that :

$$A_1 + A_2 + B_1 + B_2 = 130 \Rightarrow B_2 = 130 - A_1 - A_2 - B_1$$

$$A_2 + A_3 + B_2 + B_3 = 130 \Rightarrow B_3 = 130 - A_2 - A_3 - B_2 = A_1 - A_3 + B_1$$

$$A_3 + A_4 + B_3 + B_4 = 130 \Rightarrow B_4 = 130 - A_3 - A_4 - B_3 = 130 - A_1 - A_4 - B_1$$

$$A_4 + A_5 + B_4 + B_5 = 130 \Rightarrow B_5 = 130 - A_4 - A_5 - B_4 = A_1 - A_5 + B_1$$

etc

i.e. all the numbers of the second row can be calculated with the numbers of the first row and with B_1 .

$$\text{If we write that } B_1^2 + B_2^2 + B_3^2 + \dots + B_8^2 = 11180$$

$$\text{We have } B_1^2 + (130 - A_1 - A_2 - B_1)^2 + (A_1 - A_3 + B_1)^2 + \dots + (130 - A_1 - A_8 - B_1)^2 = 11180$$

If A_1, A_2, \dots, A_8 are given, then B_1 is solution of an equation of degree 2.

$$\text{In a same way, the relation } D_1^2 + D_2^2 + D_3^2 + \dots + D_8^2 = 11180$$

$$\text{can be written } D_1^2 + (130 - A_1 - A_2 - D_1)^2 + (A_1 - A_3 + D_1)^2 + \dots + (130 - A_1 - A_8 - D_1)^2 = 11180$$

which is exactly the same equation of degree 2 where we replace B_1 by D_1 .

Idem for F_1 and for H_1 .

Then it is possible to find B_1 and D_1 in function of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_8 but not F_1 nor H_1 because an equation of degree 2 can have only 2 solutions.

It is then impossible to build a compact semi-magic square of order 8 which is bimagic.

2. GENERALIZATION FOR ANY ORDER (by Walter Trump)

The previous demonstration was generalized to

- *the compact semi-magic squares of all orders* [W. Trump remarked first that this demonstration could be generalized to the compact semi-magic squares of even order superior to 4. And he showed after that for $n=4$ it is also impossible to find a solution with distinct numbers (see his demonstration in annex). At last, it is well known that there are no compact semi-magic squares of odd orders]

- *not consecutive but distinct numbers.*

CONCLUSION: Compact bimagic squares with distinct numbers do not exist.

ANNEX

A COMPACT SEMI-MAGIC SQUARE OF ORDER 4 WITH DISTINCT INTEGERS IS NOT BIMAGIC (by Walter Trump)

Proof:

S := magic constant = sum of each 2×2 -square

$$S = A1 + A2 + A3 + A4$$

For compact squares the following equations are known (easy to prove):

$$A1 + B1 + A2 + B2 = S \Rightarrow B2 = S - A1 - A2 - B1 = A3 - B1 + A4$$

$$A1 + B1 = A3 + B3 \Rightarrow -B3 = A3 - B1 - A1$$

$$A1 + B1 + A4 + B4 = S \Rightarrow B4 = S - A1 - A4 - B1 = A3 - B1 + A2$$

Assumption: the square is bimagic with bimagic constant S_2 .

$$A1^2 + A2^2 + A3^2 + A4^2 = S_2$$

$$B1^2 + B2^2 + B3^2 + B4^2 = S_2$$

$$B1^2 + B2^2 + (-B3)^2 + B4^2 = S_2$$

$$B1^2 + [(A3 - B1) + A4]^2 + [(A3 - B1) - A1]^2 + [(A3 - B1) + A2]^2 = S_2$$

$$B1^2 - A3^2 + A3^2 + [(A3 - B1) + A4]^2 + [(A3 - B1) - A1]^2 + [(A3 - B1) + A2]^2 = S_2$$

$$-(A3 - B1) \cdot (A3 + B1) + A3^2 + A4^2 + A1^2 + A2^2 + 3 \cdot (A3 - B1)^2 + 2 \cdot (A3 - B1) \cdot (A4 - A1 + A2) = S_2$$

$$-(A3 - B1) \cdot (A3 + B1) + S_2 + 3 \cdot (A3 - B1)^2 + 2 \cdot (A3 - B1) \cdot (A4 + A2 - A1) = S_2$$

$$-(A3 - B1) \cdot (A3 + B1) + 3 \cdot (A3 - B1)^2 + 2 \cdot (A3 - B1) \cdot (S - A3 - 2 \cdot A1) = 0$$

As $A3$ and $B1$ are distinct integers their difference $(A3 - B1)$ is not 0. \Rightarrow

$$-(A3 + B1) + 3 \cdot (A3 - B1) + 2 \cdot (S - A3 - 2 \cdot A1) = 0$$

$$-4 \cdot B1 + 2 \cdot S - 4 \cdot A1 = 0$$

$$B1 + A1 = \frac{1}{2} S$$

($B1$ and $A1$ are complementary numbers.)

Symmetry consideration:

Interchange x - and y -coordinates and do the same investigations for $A2$ instead of $B1$.

$$\Rightarrow A2 + A1 = \frac{1}{2} S$$

$\Rightarrow A2 = B1$ This stands in contradiction to distinct integers.

\Rightarrow The square cannot be bimagic. q.e.d.

Further considerations show that a semi-magic 4×4 -square that is compact and bimagic can only consist of two different integers a, b . And this square is not magic as long as $a \neq b$.

a	b	a	b
b	a	b	a
a	b	a	b
b	a	b	a